G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

Misc 80 - 07
" J 113 - 08

Later references.

Misc 404 - 11

Agency 47 - 113-1-6.

1908.
(Abridged)

File No. 497-

Serial Nos. 165?

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1659 10

Part B for July 4 11

SUBJECT.

Management of the Sri Ganga Viswesvara Swami temple and its endowments, Bilamuri village, Tirthahalli Taluk

R 1. Review appln No 1094 from Thimmanna Bhatta of Bilamuri Tirthahalli Taluk.

Prays that the management of the Gangaviswesvara Swami temple may be restored to him

R 2. To the Dy Commr Shimoga Dist No 1550 & 6-4 09

Forwards the above for report and report

To Dy Commr Shimoga Advocate Indirected

BPB—5,000—22-10-10

G.R.S. 11] 1909

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Mangnai)

NOTES.

File No. 4944 08

FILE HEADING.

Review - application from Thudur Thimmanna Bhatta of Lammury, Tirthally Taluk, praying for a review of the Govt order directing that the temple of Sri Gangadhiswaraswara Devaru with its endowments may be taken under Govt management,

From

Mr Ramiah Counsel for the petr

No.	Dated	Received
Diary No. 1094	Enclos.	Spare Copies / Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Reg (I) In Govt order no 191-4 dated 10th November 1908, it was directed that owing to the mismanagement by the Tahsildar Thimmappa, the temple and its endowments should be taken under Govt management. Thimmanna Bhatta alias Thimmappa

GPB—30,000—3-1-07

Serial No.

prays for a re-consideration of the foregoing order for the following reasons:-

1) That the temple in question is a private institution not intended for the benefit of the public.

2) That the management thereof has continued in his family for many years past

3) That the petitioner has spent much money for the improvements of the temple lands; and

4) That the Dy. Commrs. report to Govt. is ~~false~~ based on the petition of one Manjappa who is ill-disposed towards the petr.

He urges that he may be heard in person.

AV 26/8/09 Submitted for orders.

26/8/09 ~~Govt~~ The allegation that this a private temple endowed by the founder and that the lands were not granted by Govt to the Temple as known gives a different complexion to the case. Let petitioner be asked with Special reference to the allegation that lands are improperly held & report be called for and that he should furnish evidence in support of his allegation

SVS

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13-5-08

26/8/09

26/8/09

8/9/09

nue

at.

File No. 497 of 190 9

Serial No.

2. To, The Dy Commr Shimoga Divn
Docket no. 1550 dy 8.6.09
Forwards Sl 1 and calls for reports on the 2 points noted in the previous page.

To, Mr R. Ramiah, Advocate.
Endt no. 1551 dy 8.6.09
Intimates the above.

3. From, The Dy. Commr, Shimoga Divn
Letter no 70/13 dy 17.8.1910
Submits further reports in the case of

This is a case which can be tried by the Assn.
Posting up on the 15th Inst when a date will be settled
8/9/1910

Issue notice for the 25th Inst and send
to the records on the 15th inst
15.9.1910

Would have compld & get
a copy of the Assn Reports & papers
17/9/1910

Issd
19.9.1910

Dft Submtd
19.9.10

19/9

4 To, The Supdt of Town Police
Letter no 816 dy 16.9.10

014—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—15.5.08

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue
Secretariat

File No. 497 of 1908

Serial No.	
	Calls for a copy of the Inam register pertaining to the grant of S nos 183, 184 in Begumalli as Inam to Bilamunde Gangavisweswara Swamy Temple.
5.	From, the Inam Supdt Letter no. 33 Dy 5.10.10 Submits the Inam extract In the Inam extract, the lands are noted as "Personal. now Devadaya" It is said that one Timmanna Bhatta purchased this Inam for 160 Rs in 1743 from the priest of the Sringeri matt and made a gift of it to the pagoda soon after it was purchased and ever since, the pagoda is in its enjoyment. As the temple was enjoying the land for upwards of a century and as it was recognized by the Jari account of 1832, it was confirmed on the existing jodi of Rs 28-5-4 subject to the conditions of the grant. Submitted AW 10 16 BG 24/2/11

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

File No. 497 of 1908

Serial No.

Submitted with a Off. notice. Issue to file to me for Study 16/1/1911

AVC 1323
16.1.1911

There are two matters for consideration in this case.

(1) Whether there has been encroachment

(2) If so, whether Govt can assume the management.

I believe (1) has been clearly proved.

The Act appears to be a question of law.

~~Govt~~ The lands do not appear to have been originally granted by Govt or others as Devasthanam to this Temple. They belonged to the Sringeri Mutt and were separated from them some 150 or 200 years ago by Govt's ancestors who built this temple and endowed it with the lands. What the nature of the Enam was when the Sringeri Mutt enjoyed the lands is not clear. The land apparently continued to remain after separation of Govt's ancestors as was confirmed to Devarajaya at the Inam settlement. In ~~accordance~~ consonance with this Settlement should the lands be resumed.

Had the land been originally granted as Enam directly to the Temple there would be no doubt about the power of Govt to resume possession -

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

Serial No.

And make proper arrangements for puja

Matter to be considered of the circumstances of the case having it under the ruling in the Sri Kalpathi Case to this effect that if an Inam was originally Brahmadaya and was made over by the owner for the benefit of a temple the alienation ordy cannot be effective but the case through the alienation be dealt against by the dedication to the Temple.

In that case whether the Inam was settled as Devadaya. In this present case the Inam has been settled as Devadaya.

(File put up)
Hag R

Submitted in the first instance to the Hon'ble Revenue Member
Ambalavanaya

If this first order is to be modified the file may have to go to the Board as this first order was approved by the then Board Members.

Submitted to Board Members
Ambalavanaya
27.1.1911

Mr. Ramachandra Rau heard for petrs.

From the record including the last report of the mr, it is clear that the temple was

I Hag U

Serial
No.

founded and this inam granted
by the ancestors of the Pet^r.
He says (what is probably true)
that his is the only Brahman's
house in the village. The lands
& in question belong exclusively
to the temple and have been
registered as devadaya inam
at the inam settlement. The
contention of the Pet^r that he
has a beneficiary interest
in the property is futile. But
there is no doubt that he
has a particular interest in
the management of the temple
If he can satisfy the Dy C^r
that he will manage the
temple property ~~as~~ under the
~~trust~~ supervision of the local
Muhammadan authority, and that
he will ~~deposit~~ account
for the income properly

BPG—55,000—27-4-09

Revenue
at.

File No. 4924 of 1908

Serial
No.

I think he may be nominated as Manager, and the Committee (of which all the members reside outside the Village) may be superseded. I would propose that the order already passed may be modified to this extent.

Dewan

27/1/11

91

Submitted to Dewan

Ambalavanga

28.1.1911

9929
28.1.11

[illegible] promised a hearing of appeal against decision of Thahsildar that [illegible] be added to Kottur, in Tulukkanam of the Pattukottai Taluk, and an early [illegible]

[illegible]

The hearing promised appears to be another case, one of the kudivaram

Note—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

Serial No.

The proposed letter may be addressed to the Dy C^r but it should contain no instruction for any final order - for an alteration of the order if any should only be made by Council, & it is at least doubtful expedient, that a man manage property in which he considers he has a beneficial interest -

[illegible]

~~Draft~~

[illegible]

3/8/11

54 Draft submitted to Secretary

[illegible]

6/8/1911

1638 Draft approved by 2nd Councillor 7 3 11

[illegible]

13/3/1911

6 To, The Dy Comm^r, Shimoga Dt^t
Letter no 2326 Dy 16-3-1911
Enquires whether Shimoga
Bhatka may be re-included

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000-12 8 10

Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 497 of 1908

1579/10

Serial No.

as Manager of Sri Gangavisweswara Swamy Temple on the conditions specified therein

Submitted with a Dft Lr in terms of the above order as directed AU(132) 29-3-11 BL on D 1809. Perused

Dsne 3/3/1911 S/3/1911

Submitted with a revised Dft in terms of the Secretary's order AU(132) 30/3/1911 BL

7 To

Parimanoe Thimmaraya Shetty, Hongore

Sent No 1833 Dt 4-4-11

Informing him that the matter in question will be disposed of after the receipt of report from the Dy Comr Shimoga —

8. From, the Dy Comr, Shimoga Dist

Letter no 3361/1, Dt 29-4-1911

Reports that as the petr agreed before him to take the management of the Temple in terms laid down in SL6, he (the Dy Comr) has no objection in reinstating him as manager of the Temple

NOTE— Contn. sheet

GPB—50,000—12-8 10

and Revenue
Secretariat.

File No. 497 of 1968 1850 11

Serial No.

The Muchalika said to have been taken from the petr does not seem to have been received with the Vern. records.

Submitted for orders.

AS(132) 9/5/1911

May the Dy Comrs be asked to submit the Muchalika.

Hedrs (79) 16.5.1911

Yes. Call for its early submission.

Draft put up.

Hedrs (79) 16.5.1911.

Jsmey 16/5/11

16/5/11

The draft was under issue, the Muchalika has been received separately as an enclosure to the Dy. Comrs. Communication of the 29th April 1911.

Submitted for orders

AS(132) 22.5.1911

2nd Councillor)

Attention is invited to the Dewan's order of 28.2.11 on p 9 of notes above)

23.5.11

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—50,000—12 8 10

(12

Revenue
at.

File No. 1927 of 1908

Serial
No.

The previous order of Govt may be modified as already stated by me. A draft to put in.

Dewan

27/5/11

Submitted with a draft order to the Dewan.

AVC 132
30 5 1911

3/5/11

31-5-11

1927
1.6.11

I agree to the draft - It may issue with the 1st Councillors approval if accorded

29 June 11

Submitted to Hon. Councillors

1/7/1914

The draft order may issue I see no objection to it

Issue
CSS
5/7/14

Put up for order 1/7/14

5/7/1914 Issue

Submitted with an Order

AVC 132
6/7/1914

NOTE.— Contn sheet.

(1855) 50,000—42 6 10

241 13

12]
Land Revenue
Secretariat.

Mur File No. 497 of 1908

Serial No.	
9	To The Dy Comr. Shimoga No 84 D 11.7.1911 Modifies the order of the 10th Nov 1908, reinstating the pet.. as manager of the Sri Gangavisweswara Swami temple at Bilimuri on certain conditions
10	Encl. to Petn No 85 D 11.7.1911.
11.	From D. C. Shimoga No 40/1 D 12-8-1911 Requests the return of the Mutchalika obtained from Kummarina Bhatt.
	Forwd with D.C.'s Encl. Collr. etc.
	Draft Submitted returning the Mutchalika Issued 15/8/11
12	To The Dy Comr. Shimoga Dt No 444 D 19.8.1911 Returns the mutchalika as requested in sl (11). —

Fi 5
26

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12 8 10

Presented
for Ramiah

Murzban
12/3/09

D1094

MUZ F 497-08-1

In the court of the 2nd Councillor
H. H. the Rajkarbaja of Mysore
Muzrai Department
Bangalore

Muzrai Petition No 1094-9

Refer
A.C. Evans
12/3/09

Read
14/3/09

Petr :- Thandoor Nimmanna alias
Nimmayya, Son of Va
Kathi Bira alias Narayanappa
Vaddar Irakasani, age 47 yrs,
Caste of Bilimagga, Hindu
Religion, Cultivation &
Residing at Bilimoga
Town, presents
the petition above named respectfully
sheweth that :-

The village of Belgavadi,
Hobli, Kasthakulli Taluk,
is a Jodi village. It formerly belonged to
the Sringeri Math. The petr's great-great-
grand father Nimmanna, Kathi Kirk
chased it from the said Math on the
13th of Bhadrapada Shuddha of
Rudhirodgari in the 1655th Cyclic
Shalivahana for 100 rupees. Ever
since the date of the said purchase
the said village has been in the possn
and enjoyment of the petr's family
and

the Petitioner's great-grandfather, Venkata Nattu Bhatta built a temple on the northern bank of the Nanjibhadra River in the said village consisting of a sanctum of stone building and a brick compound all round, established therein a stone idol of the deity named Viśvanâthswara Dêva and for the performance of the worship by and on behalf of the family and by way of family endowment appointed more than 30 yrs ago the village was dedicated the said family deity to meet the expenses of the worship etc thereof in the name of and for the benefit of the family and the management thereof was to be in the hands of a member of the family.

3. The said temple was not intended for the public. No alms except the petty family worship there. The said deity's cattle was not for the benefit of the public neither the public nor the Government have any right to or control over the management of the village and the temple thereof.

4. The petitioner's successors being the managers of the village and subsequently became the managers thereof, have made proper arrangement for the worship etc

pleaded

planted 4000 plantain trees and
cleared the lands at a total expense
of Rs 1600 of his own money. As the
2. Nayk was still capable of further
improvements and the Petr. was
short of funds the Petr leased out
only the garden portion therein to
Pandeshwara Seetharamiya. The
latter has planted 3000 areca
plants, 4 or 5 coco-nut plants,
cardamum plants, betel creepers
and several other kinds of plants
at an expense of about Rs 5000
and the plants are about to yield
profits. The Petr retained possn
of the wet-land portion of the
village and converted 2 acres
thereof into a garden by planting
1000 areca plants for transplanta
tion, plantain trees and several
other kinds of plants at an expense
of Rs 2000.

5 While such was the case, the Shekdar
came and attached the paddy, areca
nuts, and the Revenue of the village
the Petr who died. I know the
reasons for such attachment
submitted several petitions to the
Amildar in vain. At last on
9. 2. 09 the Petr a minor submitted
to the Amildar a petn which on

an endorsement
been passed by the
"Received from the Dy Comr of
Shimoga Dt order No 632 dated
26.11.08 given in pursuance of
the Govt Proceedings No 191-4
Rev 7-12-08-c
dated 10.11.08 to the effect that on
account of mismanagement
the pet has been deprived of
the management of the temple and
all the lands belonging thereto
and the Govt have assumed the
charge thereof. You are hereby
informed of this matter".

6. After enquiry the petr now comes
to know that one Ganavalli Ranjappa
the servant of the petr. to whom the above
said improvements caused much
heart-burning and who is a perfect
stranger to the petrs family and
has no concern with the management
of the affairs of the village and the
temple petitions to the Amildar
with a selfish motive stating falsely
that the village and the temple were
being mismanaged by the petr. and
praying that he might be given
the Mgmt. management. That the
Amildar submitted a report to the Dy
Commissioner who in his turn
submitted his report to the Govt
which seems to have passed the
order referred to in the endorsement
above mentioned

above mentions.

7. The reports made by the Amildar and the Deputy Commissioner and the orders passed thereon by the Govt are all rendered against the petr without any information to him and without giving him even a single opportunity to plead his cause.

8. The above said orders and reports are unfair and unjust and affected the facts and the right, title and interest of the petr in and in the said village and temple.

9. The petr is prepared to substantiate his allegations by reliable oral and documentary evidence especially by copies of the public Records

Prayer

For the above submitted reasons the petr respectfully prays that the Govt may be graciously pleased to set aside the impugned order based on these Reports, grant a fair and sufficient opportunity to the petr to prove his contentions, recognize his rights and order the refund of the money realized from the village by the Amildar

R. Ramdas
Advocate
Mangalore

Govt 20ft Dft as per notes

G.R.S. 29A]

190

From

Secy. to the Govt. of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Genl. and Rev. Dept.

To

The Dy Commr Shimoga

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No. 320

No.

Enclosure No.

In continuation of Govt order no 191-4 date 18th november 1908 directing that the temple of Sri Gangadhareswara Deva at Bilamundy in the Tirthally Taluk may be taken under Govt management, has the honour to forward herewith revised application registered D. 1094 from Thudukur

Thimmanna Bhatta

prayers for a re-consideration

of the foregoing order

on the ground that the

temple in question is a

private institution and that

the lands endowed thereto

were not granted by Govt

to the temple as such.

The Dy. Commr is requested

to enquire into the matter, ~~hear the parties~~

and submit a report

with special reference

to the foregoing allegations

together with the records

of enquiry & his own opinion ~~on the request~~ in the matter.

By order,

8/4/[illegible]

for Secretary

.S. 26]

Gen 2715

File No 497-98

Serial No 2

Diary No. of letter replied to ——— —

No. 1550
Revd 2

General and Rev. Secretariat
( )
, *the* 190 .

To

R Ramiah esqr BA, BL
Advocate, Mysore.

Date of issue 8.4.07

Signed by [illegible]

Sir, With reference to the ~~Advertising to the Revenue~~ application registered as D 1094 presented ~~by you~~ on behalf of ~~your client~~ Thudur Thimmaya Bhatta praying for a re-consideration of the Govt order directing that the temple of Sri Gangavisweswara Devaru at Bilamundy, Tirthally Taluk, may be taken under Govt management, I am ~~directed to inform you~~ to inform Mr R Ramiah, Advocate, Mysore that a report has been called for from the Dy Commr Shimoga Dist, and ~~further~~ will.

SUBJECT.

that you may
~~should~~ produce evidence for
their officer

By
~~I have etc.~~

Obd

Sr Secretary

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

MGCPB—20,000-19-12-04

D 338

1910

From K. Appaji Rao B.A. B.L.
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District.

To G. S. Balasundaram Iyer Esq
Offg Secretary to Govt
General and Revenue Depts
Imperial Secretariat

Dated 17th August 1910

Despatched

Received

General No. 70

No. 13

Enclosure No. 5 files of papers

With reference to his
do Ref No. 1550 dated
Mus: 497-08-2
8th April 1909. reports
upon the petition of
Thimmanna bhatta
of Bilamurdy Kirtheall
Taluk.

Certified copy
8/
17/8/1910

By Commissioner

In Papers

Shimoga District

No. 70/13

Shimoga 17th August 1912

From

K. Srinivasa Rao Esquire

Deputy Commissioner

Shimoja District.

To

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer Esquire B. A.

Officiating Secretary to Government

General and Revenue Departts

Muzrai Branch.

Sir,

Adverting to your docket No. 1550 Muz: 497-08-2 dated 8th April 1909 forwarding for enquiry and report petition presented by one Thimmamma-bhatta praying for the revision of Government order No. 191-4 Muz: 7113-08-1 dated 10th November 1909 directing his removal from the Valivatdar-ship of the temple of Sri Gangavis-veshwara Swamy in the Begavalli Agrahar, Tirthalli Taluk, I have the honour to report as follows:—

The petitioner states that the

temple in question was built
Hagve) his great grandfather Venkata-
pati Bhatta who consecrated
the deity of Sri Visweshwara
Swamy for pooja by himself
and his family members, that
the lands referred to were pur-
chased by his great grand father
Thimmanna bhatta for 160 pago-
das from the Sringeri Matt
and were endowed for the per-
formance of the pooja &c. in
the temple, that the manage-
ment of the temples and its
lands was in the petitioner's
family for the last 130 years
and that therefore neither the
public nor the Government
have any control over the said
temple and the lands.

3. The Amildar to whom
the petition was referred

a detailed enquiry, and after considering the oral and documentary evidence adduced by the petitioner has submitted a full report. (Flag U

4. It is clear from the report that the lands Survey Nos: 183 and 184 in question were not sold by the Inam to the petitioner's ancestor for the benefit of the family as alleged by him but were gifted to him for the pooja of deity on receipt of a 'Kanike' of 160 pagodas as will be seen from the copy of the Jari Inamdi account of 1862 filed by the petitioner in which the petitioner's father Venkatapattabhatta is said to have stated that his grand father Venkatapate bhatta built the said temple and that the (Flag V

profits from the lands were being used for the pooja &ca in the temple - From the copy of Inam enquiry register prepared in 1872 it will be seen that in column 1 it is stated [illegible] and column 12 recites that Venkatapati Bhatta deposed that on the 13th Bahula of Rudhirodgari of 1655 of Salivahana Sakha (1733 A.D) the Sringeri Swami gifted the lands to Thimmanna Bhatta on payment of a Kanike of 160 pagodas, that the deed of gift Patta &ca were missing, that the lands were in the [illegible] of Visweshwara for the last 100 years. as

Flag 5)

mentioned in the accounts of Khara (1931-32), and that the lands may be registered in the name of the deity. From these it is clear that the Srnigeri Swamiji gifted the lands in question to Shivanna Bhatta for the purposes of pooja of the deity which was also given to him from the Mutt to be consecrated in the temple to be built by the said Shivanna Bhatta.

5 In 1906 when the lands in question were brought under attachment by a Civil Court in satisfaction of decree obtained against the petitioner by his creditors he has on 5th March 1906 before Mr. M. S. Narayan Rao, the then Deputy Commissioner, stated that the lands were

temple lands, that he had transferred the Inwolgeni right to one Nagappa and Narrappa not knowing that he should not so deal with the temple lands in that manner, and that the lands should be got released from attachment.

6 From this it will be seen that the petitioner has also admitted that the lands in question are not his family property

7. Finding that he is now debarred from enjoying the profits from the lands the petitioner seems to have come forward with the present petition

Under these circumstances I am of opinion that there are no grounds for revising the orders already passed in

Government in the matter—

The petition received with the above together with the Vernacular file of Papers on the subject are herewith submitted

I have the honour to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

A. Mun. Webb

Deputy Commissioner

Shimoga District

U.S.

G.R.S. 26]

20/9/10

Dft as per answers 17

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to

No. 516

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 190 .

Date of issue 21-9-1910

Signed by

To The Supdt of Inam Settlements in Mysore.

Sir,

I am directed to request to be furnished with a copy of the Inam register of enquiry pertaining to the grant of the marginally noted, many lands in Begumalli of the Virtually Taluk endowed for the Temple of Sri Gangadhiswara Devaru at Bilamunde in the same Taluk.

I have etc

D Sd

19.9.1910

Secretary

Under.

x

S.no — A. G. assessment

183 (wet) - 12-8-40-00

184 (Garden) - 5-10-73-00

Joint - 28-6-0

SUBJECT.

Sus no 84 VII

D 6 8 4

190

From S 04 497-08-5

The Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore

To The Secretary to the Government of H H the Maha-raja of Mysore General & Revenue Department.

Dated 5th
Despatched 6th } October 1910.
Received

General No 33

No

Enclosure No one

Forwards herewith a copy of the Inam Register of Belguvalli village, Tirthahalli Taluk

---

Inam Superintendent's Office.

No. 33
Exe one

Dated, Bangalore 5th October 1910

From

The Superintendent of Inam
Settlements in Mysore.

To,

The Secretary to the Government
of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
General & Revenue Departments

Sir,

As requested in your letter No.
816
Muz 497-08-4
dated 26th September 1910, I have
the honor to forward herewith, a copy of
the Inam Register, pertaining to the grant
certain Inam lands in Begawally of the
Tirthahally Taluk, to the temple of Sri
Visveswara Devaru at Bilamunde in
the same Taluk.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

D Rangaiah
Superintendent of Inam
Settlements in Mysore.

Register Sheet No: 74.

Village Bilamande

Sammat Malur

Taluk Kaledurga

District Shimoga

21

Copy

of the Register of Inams in the village of *Bilamande* in the

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALUE OF INAM

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village	General class to which the Inam belongs	Survey No. and name of field or fields comprised in the grant. Dry, wet or garden	Extent: Local measure. Even where the local measure is in acres, guntas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column	Extent: Measure in acres and their fractions in decimals
1	2	3	4	5

Warg. no: 154 Wargdar Kudur
mariyappa, Bilamande Kovil no: 1
The wargdar is the father of the manager
As per Pahany account
1848.

Personal now Devadaya *Wet no: 1* *20 — 0 — 0*

Bagayat no: 1 *Trees 1000* *5 — 0 — 0*

Total *25 — 0 — 0*

Nasta nil.

For T. D.

Sammat of Malur in the Taluk of

		DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND	
Old assessment	Survey assessment	Description of Inam If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued. If for tanks, buildings, &c., whether they are efficiently kept up Hereditary or for life	Whether free of tax, &c., or liable to quit-rent, i. e., jodi, &c., amount of latter to be entered
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the ryots to the Inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7			
6	7	8	9

Wet and Bagayat lands of this village depend upon rains and tank water for irrigation.

Hydary Pagodas
" 15 - 7 - 8
or
Rs 59 - 9 - 1.

For the Worship of Visveswara Devar at Beguvalli agrahar.
The Pagoda is said to be in good order and service continued.

Jodi
Hydary Pagodas
7 - 5 - 0
or
Rupees 28 - 5 - 10.

23

Kauledurga in the Dist

DOCUMENT IN SUPPORT OF THE INAM

By whom granted and in what year	Written instruments in support of the claim, original sanad, all miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, &c., and the general specification of terms of all documents produced should be given
10	11

⊗ ⊗ Col 14 Contd

3 Subba bhat P 1-1-4

4 Tammanna Char's Subba bhat P 1-7-8

5 Linga bhat P 1-1-4

6 Harihareswara Devar of Agrahar P 6-7-8

7 For Sringeri matt P 9-7-2

Total Pagodas 23-2-2

Of which for Srungeri matt and Visveswara. Devar P 6-0-0 for Wet, Bagayat trees 1000 = P.

Total Beriz 15-0-0

Jari account of 1868

Uttar of Srungeri matt and Visveswara Dev P 15-7-8

Of which Jodi P 7-5-0

Jari P 8-2-8

of Shimoga —

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND

Name of original grantee	Name entered in the register prepared by Poorniah up to 1810	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810
12	13	14

** Col. 13 Contd.

4. Subba bhat
jodi Nasta
P. 1-1-4 P. 1-1-4

5 Linga bhat
P 1-1-4 P 1-1-4

6. Tammanna bhat's Subba bhat
P. 1-7-8 P. 1-7-8

7. Visveswara Devar
P 9-7-2

Total P 36-7-2

In jari account of 1805
Beguvalli agrahar
Pagodas 27-0-0
of which
jodi Pag. 13-5-0
jari Pag 13-5-0
Add for Visveswara Devar of Sringari } 9-7-2

Individual Particulars

1 Harihara Devar
jodi Nasta
P. 6-7-8 P 6-7-8

2 Kesava bhat
P 1-7-8 P. 1-7-8

3. Venkatesa Puranic
P 1-0-0 P 1-0-0

** Contd in Col 12

In jari account of 1832.
Same Agrahar
Total Rekhe Uttar
P. 49-5-0
Deduct for Sobhakrita nasta in Adavali magani P. 2-2-14
Balance P. 47-2-2
Add Shanbhog's Vartane P. 0-7-8
Total P. 47-9-10
Deduct Sarvadhari nasta P 3-7-8
Balance 44-2-2
of which jodi 21-0-0
jari P 23-2-2

Individual Particulars

1 Seshabhat
P. 1-7-8
2. Venkatesapuranic
P 1-0-0

@@ Contd. in Col. 11.

25

of the

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS

Particulars regarding present owner. If the inam has been subdivided, the name, &c., of each sharer should be entered in the columns			
Residence, name and age, &c.	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained, and in what year
15	16	17	18

Begurulli Agrahar

Visveswara Devar

Manager

Venkatapati bhat

Pujari

Subba bhat

One Timmana bhat purchased this Inam for P. 160 in 1743 from the priest of the Sringe matt. Cadjan deed is produced The purchaser appears to have ma a gift of it to the Pagoda soon after it was purchased and ever since th Pagoda is said to in the enjoyment thereof.

Division

LENGTH OF POSSESSION

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam Assistant's opinion and recommendation	Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner
19	20

The property of the alienation which took place so far back as in 1743 cannot now be questioned, and as the Pagoda in Col: 15 is enjoying the Inam for upwards of a century and has been recognized by the Jari account of 1832, I think quit-rent cannot fairly be levied on the plea that it is an unauthorized alienation.

To be confirmed on the existing Jodi of Rupees 28-5-10 subject to the conditions of the grant.

(Sd) Syed Musuroodeen
21-2-72 Offg Assistant.

Jodi Rs 28-6-0 (Sntd) Syed Musuroodeen Asst.
Inam Superintendents' Office,
Dated Bangalore 5/6th October 1910

Compd

Dakhale B in Asli A no 1 of Bagavalli village in Register Sheet nos: 62 and 63
dt 4-12-74 (Sntd) Syed Musuroodeen Asst

1. See asli Form 2. No nasta
2 The land at ◇ is confirmed on existing Jodi Rs 28-6-0. (Sd) Robt Cole. dt 13 12 72
dt 19-1-75 (&Sd) J Puckle
Offg Inam Superintendent

"Copy"

Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore

G.R.S 26]

2/

File No.

Serial No.

*Diary No. of letter replied to*_____

No. 2326 Enc 5 files
Mem 497-08-6

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 190

To

D 16-3-911.

The Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

Date of issue_____

Signed by_____

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 70 dated 14th August 10, reporting upon the petition of Thimmanna Bhatta of Bilamundy in the Thirthahalli Taluk in connection with the Sri Gangadhareshwara swamy temple at the place, I am directed to enquire whether, in view of the facts that the temple was founded and the inam granted by the ancestors of the petitioner, that petitioner's ~~house~~ is the only Brahmin's

over.

SUBJECT.

28

house in the Village, and that thus
he has a particular interest
in the management of the temple, and that the members of the
Committee now appointed reside outside the Village,
that may not be re-instated
as Managers ~~on Condition~~ if
he can satisfy you that he
will manage the temple properly
under the Supervision of the
local Muzrai authority and
that he will account for the
income duly.

J Lowe Esq
87
4/3/1911
In Mr Lowe's

7/3/11

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—10,000—28-5-08

Revised Off. as per orders

2589 dt. 26/4/1911.
Genl. 497-08-7

మేల్ నర్సు ఆ వార్డులో
రాత్రి డ్యూటీ కి వెళ్ళకుండా
ఉన్నందుకు

మేల్ నర్సు నంకిపల్లి
యల్లమ్మ ఆమె భర్త ఎల్లప్ప
కాళ్ళు చేతులు మంటలని కూడా
శివమ్మ కొట్టిందనుటకు వ్యతిరేకంగా

చేతులు మంటలు శ్రీ వంశీ
విశ్వేశ్వర స్వామి ఆలయ ధర్మదర్శి
ద్వారా [illegible] వారి కిచ్చిన
కార్టిఫికేట్ ద్వారా 1509 గంటలకు
అన్న ప్రకారం నిజమే

పద్ధతి విషయమల్లుకోలు
రెవిన్యూలు వసూళ్ళు చేయుటకు
రోజుకు రూపాయి అని
విధించడమే అయినందున రాత్రి
డ్యూటీ కి వెళ్ళకుండా ఉన్నందుకు
తగిన చర్యలు తీసుకోవలసిందిగా

By order

26/4/11

VII

8/5 1908 1850.

Muz. 7 497-08-8

From The Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District.

To The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
General & Revenue Depts
Muzrai Branch

Dated

Despatched 29th April 1911

Received

General No. 336

No. 71

Enclosure No. 5 files

With reference to his
letter no: 2326/Muz 497-08
dated the 16th march
1911 states that Srinivasa
Bhatta may be re-
instated as manager
of the Gunjavis
Devaru temple at
Belamande in the
Tirthahalli Taluk

GPB—70 reams—27 12 09

0336/11 Confile Deputy Commissioner's Office
Shimoga District
Shimoga 29th April 1911.

From.

K. Srinivasa Row Esq
Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District

To

C. S. Balasundaram Esq. B.A.,
Offg: Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, Jamal & Revenue
Depts.
(Muzrai Branch)

Sir,

Adverting to your Letter No. V326/Muz 497-08-6 dated 16th March 1911, enquiring whether the petitioner Srinivasa Bhatta may not be re-instated as Manager of the Ganga Vishwanatha Temple at Bilamane in the Sirsi Taluk, I have the honor to state that as the petitioner has agreed before me

to

to take the management of the temple in terms laid down in your letter referred to above and has given a Mutchalika to the same effect, (Vide Mutchalika enclosed). I have no objection in reinstating him as manager of the temple

2. The seconds Connected with your letter under reply are herewith submitted.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

D. Sriniwasa Iyengar

Deputy Commissioner

Shimoga Dist.

2 Dft as per orders

G.R.S. 28]

File No. 497-0
Serial No. 9

7/7

Diary No. of letter replied to

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
( )

READ—

Govt Pro no. 191-4 Mun. 7. 113-08-2 dated 10th Nov. 1908 approving the action taken by the Dy Comr Shimoga Dist, in removing Valmiki dar Shivamappa from the management of the affairs of the Temple of Sri Gangadhareswara Devaru at Bilamunde, Sirsi taluk and also in taking over the instn and its endowments under Govt management

over.

, *the* 19

Date of issue 11 - . 19

Signed by

ORDER THEREON. No.

SUBJECT.

Revision petition regd. no. D.1094
from Shivmanna Bhatta
praying for a re-consideration
of the above order.

Correspondence ending with
Letter no 336/71 dt 29th April 1911
from the Dy Commr, Shimoga Distt
Order therein in pr. 84 in the
Inam Register
the petr was heard before & Contains
Govt on the 29th Jany last. Ex. Ds. I/1-I/11

2. From the records including
the Last report of the Amildar,
no 29 dt 21st May 1910
it is clear that the temple
was founded and the inam
granted by the ancestors
of the petr. ~~He said before~~ It is said
Govt that the petitioner's was the only
Brahmana's house in the village.
The lands in question belong
exclusively to the Temple and
have been registered as Devadaya
inam at the inam settlement.
The contention of the petr
that he has a beneficiary
interest in the property is futile,

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation Sheet draft form.*)

Though ~~But~~ there is no doubt that he has a particular interest in the management of the Temple. The Dy. Commr reports in ~~his final communication~~ his letter read above that as the petr agreed before him to take the management of the Temple on condition of managing the ~~temple~~ institn properly under the supervision of the local Munsif authority and also properly accounting for the income thereof, and has since also executed a Muchalika to the same effect, he has no objection to reinstating the petr as manager of the Temple.

In these circumstances, Govt are pleased to direct that the order of the 10th Novr 1908 read above, be modified, and the petr re-instated as manager of the Temple of Sri

Gangavisweswara · D
at Bilamuude on the
conditions specified ab

31.5.11

Pbf)
cross order.
31/5/11

for O/o Secretary

To,

The Dy. Commr, Shimoga
Dist: relng. the receipt

27/June 11

4/7/11

Copy to 8/7/11

2 1/8

No 85
Memo 497-08-10
8 11. 7. 1911.

Ent. grants to

Thimanna Bhatta alias Thimmappaiya of Bilamunday Village, Thirthahalli Taluk, with ref. to his revision-pet. regd. no D 1094 of 12th March 1910 praying for a re-consideration of G.O no 191-4 of 10th Nov. 1908 approving of the action taken by the Shimoga Dy. Commr. in removing the petr. from the management of the affairs of the temple of Sri Gangadhareshwara Deva at the place and also in taking over the instn. and its endowments under Govt. management

(through Mr. R. Rama Iyer, Advocate, Mysore).

The petr. is informed that orders of Govt. have been passed direct

Bro The 10th

2 190

the petr be reinstated

manager of the temple

on the conditions specified

in his Muchalika executed

two by cannr,

Shimoga Dist

By order

Sd/- 6/7/11

Secy 6/7/11 to Secretary

Copies 8/7/11

Reports that as the Petr agreed
before him to take the
management of the temple
in terms laid down in
No 6, he has no objection
in re instating the Petrs
as managers of the temple

No 9. To the Hon Mr Shimoza Dated
No 84 of 11.7.1911.
modifies the order
of 10th Nov. 1908 and directs
the reinstatement of
the Petrs as managers
of the temple on terms
agreed to by them

No 10. To the Petitioners
thro Mr Ramana Advocate
No 85 of 11 7.1911
Intimates the above

A. Krishnaswamy
Recorder
2.9.11.